	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/022,728	CHEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin M Bernatz	1773
All Participants: Status of Application: allowed		
(1) <u>Kevin M Bernatz</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jesus Del Castillo</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 January 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 103 in view of Bian et al. ('116 B1)		
Claims discussed:  all		
Prior art documents discussed:  Bian et al. ('116 B1)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
His Me Bake		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	cant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the Examiner indicated that applicants had overcome all but the rejections predicated on Bian et al. ('116 B1), however the Examiner noted that inclusion of the subject matter of claim 4 (namely the oxidized NiP film on the non-magnetic substrate) would bring the case into condition for allowance. Applicants agreed to the proposed changes.